Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Jasbir Singh, S/o Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, District Patiala.

### **Versus**

Public Information officer, o/o Chowki In-charge Dakala, District Paitala. Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mini Secretariat, Bock-B, Patiala. First Appellate Authority-cumo/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, District Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 1151 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jasbir Singh, (Appellant) 98153-97246 ASI, Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 3.9.2021 and 15.12.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the Chowki In-charge posted at Police Chowki Dakala from 1.3.20.2014 to 31.12.2014, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **8.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed with the Commission on **3.3.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005. The case was last heard on **15.12.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant states that he was called to the concerned Police Station and provided the information requested on 4.1.2022 by way of Six pages. Separately, the deemed PIO-cum-Chowki In-charge Dakala, ASI Chaman Lal, who was issued a Show Cause Notice at the last hearing, has submitted a written reply to said Show Cause Notice stating that the requested information has been provided to the appellant. He has also submitted copy of appellant's receiving. The Commission directs the deemed PIO-cum-Chowki In-charge Dakala, ASI Chaman Lal to submit duly attested copies of the information provided to the appellant, to the Commission within 10 days from this hearing.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Sukhdev Singh, S/o Ajaib Singh, Village Koli, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala.

# Appeal Case No. 4137 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI, Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 94643-92733

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.4.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding FIR No. 195 dated 28.7.89, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.5.2021** and Second Appeal was filed with the Commission on **10.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, the requested information has been provided to the appellant by the In-charge Police Station Rajpura and the appellant's receiving have been obtained.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a copy of said receiving to the Commission at the earliest. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Brahmjot Singh,**# 4877/1, Namdar Khan Road,
Opposite Bindi Clinic,
Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 4233 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Pardeep Kumar, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 96467-00608

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding election duty during the parliament elections in 2019, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Pardeep Kumar, Junior Assistant from the Election Department in the office Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, states that the information requested was given to the appellant vide Letter No. 1228 Dated 16.7.2021. Another three pages were further supplied to the appellant, who as per the respondent, is satisfied with the information given to him.
- 3. In view of the appellant's absence, this Commission directs the respondent PIO to submit a copy of his reply to the appellant along with clear/duly attested copies of the information given to the appellant, to this Commission.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Kuldeep Singh, S/o Mahinder Singh, Village Alipur Aryia, Tehsil & District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Deputy Commissioner,
Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 4291 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Kuldeep Singh (Appellant) 98772-28504 Ram Krishan, Tehsildar (for the Respondent) 98726-57779

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding village Allipur Arian, District Patiala,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **22.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. As per the respondent PIO, Ram Krishan, Tehsildar, Patiala, a detailed, pointwise reply has been sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 2402 Dated 24.3.2022. The respondent read out said reply during this hearing.
- 3. This Commission is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. The respondent PIO is to submit a copy of above said reply to the Commission without delay.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Birchh Bhan, S/o Saroop Chand, R/o H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road, Patran, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

# Appeal Case No. 4085 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Rajesh Kumar, Sadar Kanungo (for the Respondent) 98555-61967

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 10.6.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information pertaining to irrigation canals from January 2010 to December 2020, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 15.7.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 8.9.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 24.3.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Rajesh Kumar, Sadar Kanungo, states that a reply was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 1569 dated 13.7.2021 wherein he was informed that the requested information is not in the custody of respondent PIO's office. The respondent further informed the Commission that the requested information is in the custody of and would have to be collected from the various tehsils in the district.
- 3. It is this Commission's view that since individual tehsils are individually designated public authorities with designated PIOs, the appellant's request for information would be better served by making separate RTI applications to respective tehsil offices/tehsildars.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Birchh Bhan,** S/o Saroop Chand, R/o H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road,

Versus

Patran, Patiala.

Public Information officer, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (UD), Patiala.

# Appeal Case No. 4083 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Rozy, Building Inspector (for the Respondent) 98778-82210

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the Sun City Colony in Patran, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **24.3.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the requested information. The respondent PIO, represented by Ms. Rozy, Building Inspector from the Additional Deputy Commissioner (Urban Development), Patiala, states that the RTI application pertains to the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Patran to whom it was transferred vide Letter No. 1633 Dated 24.2.2022. Following this, the EO, MC, Patran provided the information to the appellant vide his Letter No. 64 Dated 6.1.2021.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 24.3.2022